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#### Abstract

: The chief objective of this study is to show the usefulness of Rough set theory in Matrix theory. The aim of this paper is to significance of an attribute can be evaluated by lower rough data symmetric transition probability matrix from an information table.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of Rough set proposed by Polish computer scientist Zdzisław I. Pawlak [1,2,3]. There are two generalized method for Pawlak rough set model, the constructive and the algebraic methods. Some information systems may have no core attributes, in order to solve the problem to measure the importance of the degree of attribute, which can significantly decrease the ratio that the important attribute is taken as redundant attribute to remove. The idea of attribute reduction can be generalized by introducing a concept of significance of attributes.

### 1.1Algebraic Rough set method

## Definition 1.1.1

A rough set is a formal approximation of a crisp set in terms of a pair of sets which the lower and upper approximation of the original set. Let U denote the set of objects called universe and let R be an equivalence relation on U . Then $(U, R)$ is called an approximation space. For $u, v \in \mathrm{U} \&(u, v) \in \mathrm{R}, u$ and $v$ belong to the same equivalence class it is denoted by $U / R$ and we say that they are indistinguishable. The relation R is called an indiscernibility relation. Let $[x]_{\mathrm{R}}$ denote an equivalence class of R containing element $x$, then lower approximation $\underline{R}(\mathrm{X}) \&$ upper approximation $\bar{R}(\mathrm{X})$ for a subset $\mathrm{X} \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ are defined by
$\underline{R}(\mathrm{X})=\left\{x \in \mathrm{U} /[x]_{\mathrm{R}} \subset \mathrm{X}\right\}, \bar{R}(\mathrm{X})=\left\{x \in U /[x]_{R} \cap X \neq \phi\right\}$
Thus if an object $x \in \underline{R}(\mathrm{X})$ then " $x$ surely belongs to X "
If $x \in \bar{R}(\mathrm{X})$ then " $x$ possibly belong to X "
$R(X)=(\underline{R}(X), \bar{R}(X))$ is called a rough set with respect to R .

## Definition 1.1.2.

The membership value of X is $\mu(\mathrm{X})=\underline{|\underline{R}(\mathrm{X})|} \mid$

The membership value of each element of X is $\mu_{X}(x)=\frac{\left|\left([x]_{\mathrm{R}} \cap X\right)\right|}{\left|[x]_{\mathrm{R}}\right|}$

## Definition 1.1.3.

The rough membership can be interpreted as a degree that $x$ belongs to $X$ in view of information about $x$ expressed by $R$. The rough membership function can be used to define approximations and the boundary region $B N_{R}(X)$ of a set:
$\frac{R}{\bar{R}}(\mathrm{X})=\left\{x \in \mathrm{U}: \mu_{X}(x)=1\right\}$
$\bar{R}(\mathrm{X})=\left\{x \in \mathrm{U}: \mu_{X}(x)>0\right\}$
$B N_{R}(X)=\left\{x \in \mathrm{U}: 0<\mu_{X}(x)<1\right\}$

### 1.2 Constructive Rough set method

Approximations are fundamental concepts of rough set theory. Rough set based data analysis starts from a data table called a decision table or an information system, columns of which are labeled by attributes, rows - by objects of interest and entries of the table are attribute values. Attributes of the decision table are divided into two disjoint groups called condition and decision attributes, respectively. Each row of a decision table induces decision rule, which specifies decision (action, results, outcome, etc.) if some conditions are satisfied. If a decision rule uniquely determines decision in terms of conditions the decision rule is certain. Otherwise the decision rule is uncertain. Lower approximation- the set of items, which can be certainly classified as items of X. Upper approximation- the set of items, which can be possibly classified as items of X. Boundary region- the set of items, which can be classified either as items of X or not Set X is crisp with respect to R , if the boundary region of $X$ is empty. Set $X$ is rough with respect to $R$, if the boundary region of X is nonempty

## Information System 1.2.1

Consider the simple information system ( $\mathrm{U}, A$ ) where $U=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2},,, x_{10}\right\}$ set of objects, $A=\{$ Age, I.Q, Eagerness to Learn, Communication Skill\}with four conditional attributes and decision attribute $\mathrm{D}=\{$ Performance $\}$ and a $\in \mathrm{A}$, the set of attributes $a$ : $U \rightarrow V_{a}$. The identity of the students.

Table 1

| $\boldsymbol{U}$ | Age | I.Q | Eagerness to <br> Learn | Communication Skill | Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{1}$ | 16 | 90 | Good | Oral, Written | Good |
| $x_{2}$ | 14 | 60 | Good | Written | Good |
| $x_{3}$ | 15 | 90 | Good | Oral, Written | Good |
| $x_{4}$ | 14 | 80 | Fair | Written | Good |
| $x_{5}$ | 15 | 70 | Fair | Written | Above average |
| $x_{6}$ | 16 | 60 | fair | Oral | Above average |
| $x_{7}$ | 14 | 80 | Bad | Oral, Written | Average |
| $x_{8}$ | 15 | 95 | Bad | Oral | Above average |
| $x_{9}$ | 16 | 90 | Good | Oral, Written | Average |
| $x_{10}$ | 15 | 95 | Bad | Oral |  |

$\mathrm{U} \quad=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{8}, x_{9}, x_{10}\right\}$
A $\quad=\{$ Age, I.Q, Eagerness to Learn, Communication Skill $\}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {Age }}=\{14,15,16\}=\{1,2,3\}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {I.Q }}=\{95,90,80,70,60\}=\{1,2,3,4,5\}$
$V_{\text {E.L }}=\{$ Good, Fair, Bad $\}=\{1,2,3\}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{S}}=\{$ Oral Written, Written, Oral $\}=\{1,2,3\}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {Performance }}=\{$ Good, above average, Average $\}=\{1,2,3\}$
A table may be redundant in two ways. The first form of redundancy is easy to observe. Some objects may have same features in all the attributes. This is true the case of objects $x_{1}, x_{9}$ and $x_{8}, x_{10}$ in Table3.1.Here for reducing data it is enough if we
store only one of the two. This has to be done for all the pairs.
Such pairs are termed as indiscernible objects.

## 2. Significance of attributes using lower rough data transition probability matrix

Significance of an attribute can be evaluated by measuring effect of removing the attribute from an information table on classification defined by the table. Let us first start our consideration with decision tables.

Table.2. Value for reduced table1

| $\boldsymbol{U}$ | Age <br> $\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}\right)$ | $\mathbf{I . Q}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | Eagerness to Learn <br> $\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | Communication Skill <br> $\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{4}\right)$ | Performance (d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $x_{2}$ | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $x_{3}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $x_{4}$ | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $x_{5}$ | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| $x_{6}$ | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $x_{7}$ | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $x_{8}$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Definition 2.1: Relation between each object is defined by $d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{i k}-x_{j k}\right)$ where n is the number of attribute

Each element of the skew symmetric matrix is defined by $x_{i j=} d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ where $i, j=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{~m}$, where m is the number of object.

Table.3. Skew-Symmetric matrix for Table 2.

|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | 0 | -2 | 1 | -2 | -4 | -8 | -3 | -4 |
| $x_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | -2 | -7 | -1 | -2 |
| $x_{3}$ | -1 | -3 | 0 | -3 | -5 | -9 | -4 | -5 |
| $x_{4}$ | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | -2 | -6 | -1 | -2 |
| $x_{5}$ | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | -4 | -1 | 0 |
| $x_{6}$ | 8 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| $x_{7}$ | 5 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | -3 | 0 | -1 |
| $x_{8}$ | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | -4 | 1 | 0 |

## Definition2.2

Relation between each attribute is defined by $d\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|x_{k i}-x_{k j}\right|$ where m is the number of object.
Each element of the symmetric matrix is defined by $a_{i j=} d\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)$ where $i, j=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of attribute

Table.4. Symmetric matrix for Table 2

| $d$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ | 0 | 14 | 7 | 6 |
| $a_{2}$ | 14 | 0 | 13 | 14 |
| $a_{3}$ | 7 | 13 | 0 | 3 |
| $a_{4}$ | 6 | 14 | 3 | 0 |

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{a_{1}}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} a_{k 1}=27, R_{a_{2}}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} a_{k 2}=41, \\
R_{a_{3}}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} a_{k 3}=23, R_{a_{4}}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} a_{k 4}=23 \\
R_{a_{3}} \leq R_{a_{2}} \leq R_{a_{1}} \leq R_{a_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition2.3 : Let $\underline{R}_{i j}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|x_{k i}-x_{k j}\right|}{R_{a_{i}}} \quad$ for all $x_{i j} \in \underline{R}(X)$ where $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}$ is the number of attribute, $R_{a_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k i}$. The matrix $\underline{R}=\left(\underline{R}_{i j}\right)$ is called a Lower rough data symmetric matrix if $\underline{R}(X)$ is the lower approximation of the information system under the conditional and decision attributes.
Definition2.4 :Let $\bar{R}_{i j}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|x_{k i}-x_{k j}\right|}{R_{a_{i}}}$ for all $x_{i j} \in \bar{R}(X)$ where $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}$ is the number of attribute, $R_{a_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k i}$. The matrix $\bar{R}=\left(\bar{R}_{i j}\right)$ is called an upper rough data symmetric matrix if $\bar{R}(X)$ is the lower approximation of the information system under the conditional and decision attributes.

Definition2.5: The matrix $\underline{R}=\left(\underline{R}_{i j}\right)$ is called a Lower rough data symmetric transition probability matrix satisfying the conditions
(i) $\underline{R}_{i j} \geq 0$ where $\underline{R}_{i j}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|x_{k i}-x_{k j}\right|}{R_{a_{i}}}, \mathrm{~m}$ is the number of object. (ii) $\sum \underline{R}_{i j}=1$ for all i.

Definition2.6:The matrix $\bar{R}=\left(\bar{R}_{i j}\right)$ is called an upper rough data symmetric transition probability matrix satisfying the conditions
(i) $\bar{R}_{i j} \geq 0$ where $\bar{R}_{i j}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|x_{k i}-x_{k j}\right|}{R_{a_{i}}}, \mathrm{~m}$ is the number of object.
(ii) $\sum \bar{R}_{i j}=1$ for all i.

## Definition2.7

The matrix $\underline{R}=\left(\underline{R}_{i j}\right)$ is said to be a regular matrix if all the entries of $\left(\underline{R}_{i j}\right)^{m}$ are positive.
The matrix $\bar{R}=\left(\bar{R}_{i j}\right)$ is said to be a regular matrix if all the entries of $\left(\bar{R}_{i j}\right)^{m}$ are positive.

Definition2.8: If the lower rough t.p.m is regular, then every state value approaches a unique fixed value called the steady state solution. That is $\underline{R}^{(r)} \rightarrow \pi$ as $\mathrm{r} \rightarrow \infty \quad$ where $\quad \underline{R}^{(r)}=$ $\left\{\underline{R}_{1}{ }^{(r)}, \underline{R}_{2}{ }^{(r)}, \ldots \underline{R}_{k}{ }^{(r)}\right\}$ and $\quad \pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots \pi_{k}\right)$

Definition2.9: If $\underline{R}$ is the regular lower rough t.p.m and $\pi=$ $\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots \pi_{k}\right)$, then $\pi \underline{R}=\pi$ and $\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}+\cdots+\pi_{k}=1$

## Example 2.10

Lower Rough data symmetric transition probability matrix for Table 4 is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l} 
\\
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3} \\
a_{4}
\end{array} \begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
\frac{14}{41} & \frac{14}{27} & \frac{7}{27} & \frac{6}{27} \\
\frac{7}{23} & \frac{13}{23} & \frac{13}{41} & \frac{14}{41} \\
\frac{6}{23} & \frac{14}{23} & \frac{3}{23} & \frac{3}{23} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

We require the consistency of each attribute, so we can find the steady state solution of the given lower rough data t.p.m

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}, \pi_{4}\right)\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \frac{14}{27} & \frac{7}{27} & \frac{6}{27} \\
\frac{14}{41} & 0 & \frac{13}{41} & \frac{14}{41} \\
\frac{7}{23} & \frac{13}{23} & 0 & \frac{3}{23} \\
\frac{6}{23} & \frac{14}{23} & \frac{3}{23} & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}, \pi_{4}\right) \\
& \frac{14}{41} \pi_{2}+\frac{7}{23} \pi_{3}+\frac{6}{23} \pi_{4}=\pi_{1} \\
& \frac{14}{27} \pi_{1}+\frac{13}{23} \pi_{3}+\frac{6}{23} \pi_{4}=\pi_{2} \\
& \frac{7}{27} \pi_{1}+\frac{13}{41} \pi_{2}+\frac{3}{23} \pi_{4}=\pi_{3} \\
& \frac{6}{27} \pi_{1}+\frac{14}{41} \pi_{2}+\frac{3}{23} \pi_{3}=\pi_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving the above equations and using $\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}+\pi_{3}+\pi_{4}=1$, we $\operatorname{get}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}, \pi_{4}\right)=(0.22,0.35,0.18,0.23)$ this is the consistency of each attribute. Thus the attribute $a_{1}$ and $a_{4}$ are
equal importance in decision making. And the attribute $a_{2}$ is the most important attribute in decision making.
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